Green Functions of Scalar Particles in Stochastic Fields

M. Dineykhan,¹ G. V. Efimov,² and Kh. Namsrai¹

Received April 1, 1989, revised May 1, 1989

A variational method of evaluating functional integrals is proposed. This method is used to investigate the asymptotic behavior of the scalar-particle Green functions in stochastic fields. The equations for the Green functions in Euclidean space in stochastic fields are written. The solutions of these equations are represented in the form of a functional integral and then they are averaged over Gaussian stochastic fields. The variational method formulated above is used to evaluate the asymptotic behavior of these Green functions. The following equations are considered in this paper: a stochastic contribution to the mass of a scalar particle, a gauge stochastic field, and a weak stochastic contribution to the flat metric of Euclidean space.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is very popular to represent different physical characteristics in the form of functional integrals.³ However, with the exception of the Gaussian integrals and a few integrals of a special form, calculations of functional integrals are of a serious difficulty. The main computing methods of functional integrals are, first, the quasiclassical approach or the stationary phase method when the main contribution to the integral is considered to come from a function which minimizes an integrand action,⁴ and, second, variational calculations (Feynman and Hibbs, 1965).

In this paper we proposed a variational method improving the Feynman method (Feynman and Hibbs, 1965) and apply it for investigation of the

²Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, USSR.

⁴See, e.g., Feynman Path Integrals.

¹Institute of Physics and Technology, Academy of Sciences of Mongolian People's Republic, Ulan-Bator, Mongolia.

³See, e.g., Climm and Jaffe (1981) and *Feynman Path Integrals* (Lecture Notes in Physics, No. 106), Springer-Verlag, New York (1979).

asymptotic behavior of the Green functions in stochastic fields. The idea of this method was formulated in Efimov (1985).

For problems as difficult as calculations of functional integrals, variational estimations should help at least to understand and give a sense of the character of the behavior of a functional integral, although they do not give its exact value.

2. VARIATIONAL METHOD

Here we formulate our variational method which will be used in what follows. Let the functional integral be given by

$$I(g) = \int d\sigma_{\varphi} e^{-gW[\varphi]}$$
(2.1)

$$d\sigma_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{N_{\varphi}} \,\delta\varphi \,\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \int dx_{1} \,dx_{2} \,\varphi(x_{1}) D^{-1}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \varphi(x_{2})\right\} \quad (2.2)$$

The notation is the following: $D^{-1}(x_1, x_2)$ is the distribution or the differential operator. The Green function $D(x_1, x_2)$ is defined by the equation

$$\int_{V} dy \, D^{-1}(x_1, y) D(y, x_2) = \delta(x_1 - x_2)$$

and it satisfies some given boundary conditions.

The volume $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ over which the integration is performed in (2.2) can be either finite or infinite.

The functional differential in a lattice approximation is defined as

$$\delta\varphi=\prod_{x\in V}d\varphi(x)$$

The normalization constant N_{φ} is determined from the condition

$$\int d\sigma_{\varphi} = 1$$

 $W[\varphi]$ is a real functional, and g is a "coupling constant."

It is assumed that the functional integral (2.1) is defined on the Gaussian measure (2.2), at least there exists a perturbation series in the coupling constant g.

Let us formulate our variational method. First, let us diagonalize the quadratic form in (2.2). We introduce the function $\Delta(x_1, x_2)$ satisfying the condition

$$\int_{V} dy \,\Delta(x_1, y) \,\Delta(y, x_2) = D(x_1, x_2)$$
(2.3)

In the cases under consideration this function can easily be found, but it is enough for us to suppose its existence. Let us introduce the new functional variable

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{V} dy \,\Delta(x, y) \Phi(y) = (\Delta, \phi)(x)$$
(2.4)

The functional integral (2.1) can be written

$$I(g) = \frac{1}{N_{\phi}} \int \delta\phi \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{V} dx \,\phi^{2}(x) - gW[(\Delta, \phi)]\right\}$$
(2.5)

where the new constant N_{ϕ} is defined by the condition I(0) = 1.

Let us choose in the volume $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ some orthonormal system of functions $\{g_{\{n\}}(x)\}$, where

$$\{n\} = (n_1, \ldots, n_d), \qquad n_j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \qquad (j = 1, \ldots, d)$$

satisfies the conditions

$$\int_{V} d^{d}x \, g_{\{n\}}(x) g_{\{n'\}}(x) = \delta_{\{n,n'\}} = \delta_{n_{1}n'_{1}} \cdots \delta_{n_{d}n'_{d}}$$

$$\sum_{\{n\}} g_{\{n\}}(x) g_{\{n\}}(x') = \delta^{(d)}(x-x') = \delta(x-x')$$
(2.6)

The choice of the system (2.6) is sufficiently arbitrary. The unique condition imposed on this system is that the functions $D(x_1, x_2)$ and $\Delta(x_1, x_2)$ can be developed over the functions of this system.

Let us represent the function $\phi(x)$ over which the integration is performed in (2.5) in the form

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{\{n\}} u_{\{n\}} g_{\{n\}}(x)$$
(2.7)

where the coefficients $u_{\{n\}}$ are independent variables. Then,

$$\int_{V} dx \, \phi^{2}(x) = \sum_{\{n\}} u_{\{n\}}^{2}$$

$$(\Delta, \phi)(x) = \sum_{\{n\}} \Delta_{\{n\}}(x) u_{\{n\}}, \qquad \Delta_{\{n\}}(x) = \int_{V} dy \, \Delta(x, y) g_{\{n\}}(y)$$
(2.8)

The functional integral (2.5) can be written in the form of the infinitely

multiple integral

$$I(g) = \int d\sigma_n \exp\{-gW[(\Delta, \phi)]\}$$

$$d\sigma_n = \prod_{\{n\}} \frac{du_{\{n\}}}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\{n\}} u_{\{n\}}^2\right)$$
(2.9)

where the normalization constant is written in explicit form.

We want to stress that the representation (2.9) is equivalent to (2.1).

Let us proceed to the variational estimation of the integral (2.9). We introduce the new variables in (2.9)

$$u_{\{n\}} = \frac{u'_{\{n\}}}{\left(1 + q_{\{n\}}\right)^{1/2}} - s_{\{n\}}$$
(2.10)

where the quantities $q_{\{n\}}$ and $s_{\{n\}}$ will be variational parameters. They satisfy the conditions

$$\left|\sum_{\{n\}} q_{\{n\}}\right| < \infty, \qquad \left|\sum_{\{n\}} s_{\{n\}}\right| < \infty$$

We would like to make the following remarks. Instead of (2.10) it is possible to make the substitution

.

$$u_{\{n\}} = \sum_{\{l\}} U_{\{n,l\}} \frac{u'_{\{l\}}}{(1+q_{\{l\}})^{1/2}} + s_{\{n\}}$$
(2.11)

where U is an orthogonal real matrix: det U = 1, $UU^T = I$. This matrix defines some rotation in the space of variables $\{u_{\{n\}}\}$. However, according to (2.7), it signifies a transition to another orthonormal basis (2.6). In other words, the basis enters into the set of our variational parameters.

Let us substitute (2.10) into (2.9). We get

$$I(g) = \prod_{\{n\}} \frac{1}{(1+q_{\{n\}})^{1/2}} \int d\sigma_n \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{n\}} \frac{q_{\{n\}}}{1+q_{\{n\}}} u_{\{n\}}^2 - \sum_{\{n\}} \frac{s_{\{n\}}}{(1+q_{\{n\}})^{1/2}} u_{\{n\}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{n\}} s_{\{n\}}^2 - gW[(\Delta_q, \phi) + (\Delta, s)]\right\}$$
(2.12)

where

$$(\Delta_q, \phi) = \sum_{\{n\}} \frac{\Delta_{\{n\}}(x)}{(1+q_{\{n\}})^{1/2}} u_{\{n\}}; \qquad (\Delta, s)(x) = \sum_{\{n\}} \Delta_{\{n\}}(x) s_{\{n\}}$$

The measure $d\sigma_n$ is the same as in (2.9).

Let us use the inequality

$$\int d\sigma \, e^{-W} \ge \exp\left\{-\int d\sigma \, W\right\}$$

Green Functions in Stochastic Fields

which is valid for any positive-definite measures and any real functions W. We obtain

$$I(g) \ge \exp\left\{-L[q] - \frac{1}{2}(s, s) - \int d\sigma_n W[(\Delta_q, \phi) + (\Delta, s)]\right\}$$

$$L[q] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{n\}} \left[\ln(1 + q_{\{n\}}) - \frac{q_{\{n\}}}{1 + q_{\{n\}}}\right]$$

$$(s, s) = \sum_{\{n\}} s_{\{n\}}^2$$
(2.13)

Representing our integral I(g) in the form

$$I(g) = \exp\{-E(g)\}$$
 (2.14)

we can obtain from (2.13) for E(g) the upper estimation

$$E(g) \le E_{+}(g)$$

$$E_{+}(g) = \min_{\{q,s\}} \left\{ L[q] + \frac{1}{2}(s,s) + \int d\sigma_{n} W[(\Delta_{q},\phi) + (\Delta,s)] \right\}$$
(2.15)

This formula is the desired inequality.

Thus, the variational parameters are, first, the orthonormal system (2.6) and, second, the parameters $\{q_{\{n\}}, s_{\{n\}}\}$ over which we have to compute the minimum in (2.15).

It should be noted that this variational estimation (2.15) gives the exact result for the quadratic functionals $W[\varphi]$.

In conclusion, we want to remark that this variational method differs from the Feynman method (Feynman and Hibbs, 1965) in that the additional parameters $s_{\{n\}}$ are introduced and the parameters $q_{\{n\}}$ are connected with the pure Gaussian measure just as the specific properties of the differential operator $D^{-1}(x_1, x_2)$ enter into the interaction functional W. Therefore, the variational equations obtained from (2.15) connect directly the parameters $q_{\{n\}}$ and $s_{\{n\}}$ with the behavior of the Green function $D(x_1, x_2)$, so that a more precise estimation can be achieved.

3. GREEN FUNCTIONS IN THE FORM OF A FUNCTIONAL INTEGRAL

Let us consider the Green function satisfying the following equation:

$$\left[\left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}}+V_{\mu}(x)\right)^{2}+W(x)+m^{2}\right]G(x,y|V,W)=\delta(x-y) \quad (3.1)$$

where $W(x) \ge 0$. This equation is defined in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d

$$x^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2$$
, $\Box = \left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}\right)^2 = -\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_d^2}\right)$ (3.2)

The solution of equation (3.1) can be written in the following form according to the Feynman functional integral representation (Feynman, 1951)

$$G(x, y | V, W)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \left[\exp(-\alpha m^{2}) \right] T_{\beta} \exp\left\{ -\alpha \int_{0}^{1} d\beta \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}(\beta)} + V_{\mu}(x(\beta)) \right]^{2} -\alpha \int_{0}^{1} d\beta W(x(\beta)) \right\} \delta(x-y)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \left[\exp(-\alpha m^{2}) \right] \int \delta\phi(\beta) \exp\left\{ -\int_{0}^{1} d\beta \phi_{\mu}^{2}(\beta) + 2i\sqrt{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} d\beta \phi_{\mu}(\beta) V_{\mu} \left(x - 2\sqrt{\alpha} \int_{\beta}^{1} d\beta' \phi(\beta') \right) -\alpha \int_{0}^{1} d\beta W \left(x - 2\sqrt{\alpha} \int_{\beta}^{1} d\beta' \phi(\beta') \right) \right\} \delta\left(x - y - 2\sqrt{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} d\beta \phi(\beta) \right)$$

Here T_{β} is a symbol of a "chronological" ordering in the parameter β .

The normalization of the functional integral in (3.2) is chosen in the following way:

$$\int \delta\phi \exp\left\{-\int_{0}^{1} d\beta \,\phi^{2}(\beta)\right\} \delta(x - 2\sqrt{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} d\beta \,\phi(\beta))$$
$$= \int \left(\frac{dk}{2\pi}\right)^{d} \exp(-ikx - \alpha k^{2})$$
$$= \frac{1}{(4\pi\alpha)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^{2}}{4\alpha}\right)$$
(3.3)

Let us perform the following transformations in (3.2). We will calculate the functional integral in the representation of basic vectors. The orthonormal basis on the interval $0 < \beta < 1$ will be taken in the form (n = 1, 2, ...)

$$g_n(\beta) = \begin{cases} 1\\ \sqrt{2}\cos 2\pi n\beta\\ \sqrt{2}\sin 2\pi n\beta \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

We introduce the new variables of integration in (3.2)

$$\phi_{\mu}(\beta) = \phi_{0\mu} + a_{\mu}(\beta)$$

$$a_{\mu}(\beta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (u_{n\mu} \cos 2\pi n\beta + v_{n\mu} \sin 2\pi n\beta)$$
(3.5)

We have

$$\int_{0}^{1} d\beta \, \phi_{\mu}^{2}(\beta) = \phi_{0\mu}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(u_{n\mu}^{2} + v_{n\mu}^{2} \right)$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} d\beta \, \phi_{\mu}(\beta) = \phi_{0\mu}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\beta} d\beta' \, \phi_{\mu}(\beta') = \beta \phi_{0\mu} + A_{\mu}(\beta)$$

$$(3.6)$$

$$A_{\mu}(\beta) = \int_{0}^{\beta} d\beta' \, a_{\mu}(\beta')$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi n} \left[u_{n\mu} \sin 2\pi n\beta + v_{n\mu} (1 - \cos 2\pi n\beta) \right]$$

After introducing the new variables (3.5), using formulas (3.6), and performing the integration over $\phi_{0\mu}$ with the condition (3.3), one can obtain for the functional integral (3.2)

$$G(x, y | V, W) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\alpha}{(4\pi\alpha)^{d/2}} \left\{ \exp\left[-\alpha m^{2} - \frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4\alpha}\right] \right\} R(x, y | V, W)$$
(3.7)

$$R(x, y | V, W) = \int d\sigma_{a} I_{v}(x, y | V) I_{s}(x, y | W)$$
(3.7)

$$I_{v}(x, y | V) = \exp\left\{ i \int_{0}^{1} d\beta (x - y - 2\alpha^{1/2}a(\beta))_{\mu} V_{\mu}(x\beta + y(1 - \beta) + 2\alpha^{1/2}A(\beta)) \right\}$$
(3.8)

$$I_{s}(x, y | W) = \exp\left\{ -\alpha \int_{0}^{1} d\beta W(x\beta + y(1 - \beta) + 2\alpha^{1/2}A(\beta)) \right\}$$

$$d\sigma_{a} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{du \, dv}{2\pi} \right)^{d} \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(u_{n\mu}^{2} + v_{n\mu}^{2} \right) \right\}$$
(3.9)

The representation (3.7) is the basis of our further calculations.

4. SCALAR PARTICLES IN A STOCHASTIC FIELD

As the first example of the application of our variational method, we consider the problem of the arising of a mass for scalar particles in a stochastic field. Our results can be formulated in the form of the following statement.

Dineykhan et al.

Statement. Let the equation

$$[\Box + g\varphi^{2}(x)]G(x, y | \varphi) = \delta(x - y)$$
(4.1)

be given in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^4 . The field $\varphi(x)$ is a random Gaussian field with the correlation function

$$\langle \varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2)\rangle_{\varphi} = D(x_1 - x_2) = \int \left(\frac{dk}{2\pi}\right)^4 \tilde{D}(k^2) \ e^{-ik(x_1 - x_2)}$$
(4.2)

The function $ilde{D}(k^2)$ decreases rapidly enough so that

$$D_n = \int \left(\frac{dk}{2\pi}\right)^4 \tilde{D}(k^2)(k^2)^n < \infty \qquad (n = 0, 1)$$
(4.3)

Then, the following inequality is valid for the Green function averaged over the random field:

$$G(x-y) = \langle G(x, y | \varphi) \rangle_{\varphi} \ge \frac{\text{const}}{[(x-y)^2]^{1/2}} \exp\{-M_+[(x-y)^2]^{1/2}\}$$
(4.4)

Here

$$M_{+} = \min_{\xi > 0, \sigma > 0, \lambda > 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{4\xi} + 2\sigma + \frac{\lambda}{2} + g\xi \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \, e^{-s} \int \left(\frac{dk}{2\pi}\right)^{4} \tilde{D}(k^{2}) \\ \times \left[1 - \exp\left\{ i(kn) \frac{s}{2\lambda} - \frac{\xi}{6} k^{2} (1 - e^{-\sigma s/\lambda}) \right\} \right] \right\}$$
(4.5)

where *n* is an Euclidean vector with $n^2 = 1$.

For the weak and strong coupling we have

$$M_{+} = \begin{cases} (gD_0)^{1/2}, & g \ll 1\\ 1.09(gD_1)^{1/4}, & g \gg 1 \end{cases}$$
(4.6)

Now we proceed to prove this statement. According to the representation (3.7), the Green function in a random field $\varphi(x)$ is written

$$G(x|\varphi) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^2} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4\alpha}\right) \right] R(x|\varphi)$$

$$R(x|\varphi) = \int d\sigma_a \exp\left\{ -g\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \,\varphi^2(x\beta + 2\alpha^{1/2}A(\beta)) \right\}$$
(4.7)

where we put y = 0 for convenience.

Green Functions in Stochastic Fields

The averaging of (4.7) over the Gaussian field $\varphi(x)$ can be performed in the following way. The following representation is valid:

$$\exp\left\{-g\alpha \int_{0}^{1} d\beta \varphi^{2}(x\beta + 2\alpha^{1/2}A(\beta))\right\}$$
$$= \int d\sigma_{b} \exp\left\{-2i(g\alpha)^{1/2} \int_{0}^{1} d\beta b(\beta)\varphi(x\beta + 2\alpha^{1/2}A(\beta))\right\}$$
(4.8)

where

$$d\sigma_b = \frac{1}{N_b} \,\delta b \,\exp\left\{-\int_0^1 d\beta \,b^2(\beta)\right\}$$
$$= \frac{db_0}{\sqrt{\pi}} \prod_{n=1}^\infty \frac{dt_n \,ds_n}{2\pi} \exp\left\{-b_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(t_n^2 + s_n^2\right)\right\}$$
$$b(\beta) = b_0 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(t_n \cos 2\pi n\beta + s_n \sin 2\pi n\beta\right)$$

Introducing the representation (4.8) in (4.7) and performing the averaging over the Gaussian random field $\varphi(x)$, one gets

$$G(x) = \langle G(x | \varphi) \rangle_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^2} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4\alpha}\right) \right] R(x, \alpha)$$

$$R(x, \alpha) = \exp[-E(x, \alpha)]$$

$$= \int d\sigma_b \int d\sigma_a \exp\left\{-2g\alpha \int \int_0^1 d\beta_1 \, d\beta_2 \, b(\beta_1) \right\}$$

$$\times D\left(x(\beta_1 - \beta_2) + 2\sqrt{\alpha} \int_{\beta_2}^{\beta_1} d\beta \, a(\beta)\right) b(\beta_2) \right\}$$
(4.9)

Now let us apply our variational method to (4.9). We introduce the variational parameters $\{p_n\}$ for the measure $d\sigma_b$ and $\{q_n\}$ for the measure $d\sigma_a$. Th parameters s_n in (2.11) are set equal to zero for both measures. An additional investigation omitted here shows that these parameters equal zero in the limit $x^2 \rightarrow \infty$. Using (2.13), one gets

$$R(x, \alpha) = e^{-E(x,\alpha)} \ge e^{-E_{+}(x,\alpha)}$$

$$E_{+}(x, \alpha) = \min_{\{q_{m}, p_{n}\}} \left\{ 4L[q] + L[p] + 2g\alpha \int \int_{0}^{1} d\beta_{1} d\beta_{2} B_{p}(\beta_{1} - \beta_{2}) \int \left(\frac{du}{(2\pi)^{1/2}}\right)^{4} e^{-u^{2}/2} \right\}$$

$$\times D(x(\beta_{1} - \beta_{2}) + 2u[\alpha A_{q}(\beta_{1} - \beta_{2})]^{1/2}) \left\{$$
(4.10)

Here, the following formulas are introduced:

$$B_{p}(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}) = \int d\sigma_{b} b_{p}(\beta_{1})b_{p}(\beta_{2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2\pi n(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2})}{1+p_{n}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\delta(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n}}{1+p_{n}} \cos 2\pi n(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2})$$

$$(4.11)$$

$$d\sigma_{a} R\left(\int_{\beta_{1}}^{\beta_{2}} d\beta a_{q}(\beta)\right) = \int \left(\frac{du}{(2\pi)^{1/2}}\right)^{4} e^{-u^{2}/2} R(u[A_{q}(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2})]^{1/2})$$

$$A_{q}(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2[1-\cos 2\pi n(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2})]}{(2\pi n)^{2}(1+q_{n})}$$

$$L[r] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\ln(1+r_{n}) - \frac{r_{n}}{1+r_{n}}\right] (r_{n} = q_{n}, p_{n})$$

The behavior of the Green function as $x^2 \rightarrow \infty$ is of interest. Let us calculate this asymptotic behavior in this limit. For this aim we put in the integral (4.9)

$$\alpha = |x| \xi$$
 $[|x| = (x^2)^{1/2}]$

and

$$q_n = \left(\frac{|x|\sigma}{\pi n}\right)^2, \qquad p_n = \left(\frac{|x|\lambda}{\pi n}\right)^2$$
 (4.12)

where σ and λ are variational parameters. Then, the following estimation for the Green function is valid:

$$G(x) \ge \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2 |x|} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\xi}{\xi^2} \exp\left\{-\frac{|x|}{4\xi} - E_+(|x|,\xi)\right\}$$
(4.13)

Here $E_+(|x|, \xi)$ is defined by formula (4.10). In the case of the parameters (4.12) we obtain for formulas (4.11)

$$L[q] = \ln \frac{\operatorname{sh} |x|\sigma}{|x|\sigma} - \frac{|x|\sigma}{2 \operatorname{cth} |x|\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \xrightarrow[|x|\sigma \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{2} |x|\sigma$$

$$B_{p}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\delta(\beta) - |x|\lambda \frac{\operatorname{ch} |x|\lambda(1-2|\beta|)}{\operatorname{sh} |x|\lambda} + 1 \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{|x|\to\infty} \frac{1}{2} [\delta(\beta) - |x|\lambda e^{-2|x|\lambda|\beta|} + 1] \qquad (4.14)$$

$$A_{q}(\beta) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\operatorname{ch} |x|\sigma - \operatorname{ch} |x|\sigma(1-2|\beta|)}{|x|\sigma \operatorname{sh} |x|\sigma}$$

$$\xrightarrow{|x|\sigma\to\infty} \frac{1}{4|x|\sigma} \left(1 - e^{-2|x|\sigma|\beta|}\right)$$

Substituting (4.14) into (4.10) and introducing new variables $\beta_j \rightarrow \beta_j / \lambda |x|$ (j = 1, 2), one gets, after some transformations, as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$G(x) \ge \frac{\text{const}}{|x|} e^{-M_+|x|}$$
 (4.15)

where M_+ is defined by formula (4.5).

The asymptotic behavior of M_+ for small and large g can be obtained in the following way. Introducing the variables

$$\sigma = g^{\rho}\sigma', \qquad \lambda = g^{\rho}\lambda', \qquad \xi = g^{-\rho}\xi'$$

where ρ is an independent parameter, one gets

$$M_{+} = g^{\rho} \min_{\xi,\sigma,\lambda} \left\{ \frac{1}{4\xi} + 2\sigma + \frac{\lambda}{2} + g^{1-2\rho} \xi \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \ e^{-s} \int \left(\frac{dk}{2\pi} \right)^{4} \tilde{D}(k^{2}) \right.$$
$$\left. \times \left[1 - \exp\left\{ i(kn) \frac{s}{g^{\rho} 2\lambda} - \frac{\xi k^{2}}{g^{2\rho} \sigma} \left(1 - e^{-\sigma s/\lambda} \right) \right\} \right] \right\}$$
(4.16)

As $g \rightarrow 0$, we have $\rho = 1/2$ and

$$M_{+} = g^{1/2} \min_{\xi,\sigma,\lambda} \left\{ \frac{1}{4\xi} + 2\sigma + \frac{\lambda}{2} + \xi D_{0} \right\} = (gD_{0})^{1/2}$$

For $g \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\rho = 1/4$ and

$$M_{+} = g^{1/4} \min_{\xi,\sigma,\lambda} \left\{ \frac{1}{4\xi} + 2\sigma + \frac{1}{8} \xi D_{1} \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda^{2}} + \frac{4\xi}{6+\lambda} \right) \right\}$$

\$\le 1.09(gD_{1})^{1/4}\$

5. SCALAR PARTICLES IN A STOCHASTIC VECTOR GAUGE FIELD

The next example is relevant to Simonov (1988a,b), where the author claims that stochastic gauge fields (electromagnetic fields, for example) can lead to the confinement of particles which are in these fields. The confinement is considered to be reached if the Green function decreases at large distances more rapidly than any linear exponent, i.e.,

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} |G(x)| \exp\{N(x^2)^{1/2}\} = 0$$

Dineykhan et al.

for any N > 0. This condition means that these Green functions cannot describe asymptotically free states of particles.

Here, we show that this is not true. Our conclusion is based on the following statement.

Statement. Let the equation

$$\left\{ \left[i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} + V_{\mu}(x, y) \right]^{2} + m^{2} \right\} G(x, y \mid F) = \delta(x - y)$$
(5.1)

be given in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^4 . The vector field V_{μ} is defined by

$$V_{\mu}(x, y) = \int_{0}^{1} ds \, sF_{\mu\nu}(xs + y(1-s))(x-y)_{\nu}$$
(5.2)

Here $F_{\mu\nu}(x)$ is a random Gaussian field with the correlation function

$$\langle F_{\mu\nu}(x)F_{\rho\sigma}(y)\rangle_{F} = (\delta_{\mu\rho}\delta_{\nu\sigma} - \delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\nu\rho})D(x-y)$$
$$D(x) = \int \left(\frac{dk}{2\pi}\right)^{4}\tilde{D}(k^{2}) e^{-ikx}$$
(5.3)

where the function $\tilde{D}(k^2)$ decreases rapidly enough.

Then, the following inequality is valid for the Green function averaged over the random field $F_{\mu\nu}$ in the limit $(x-y)^2 \rightarrow \infty$:

$$G(x-y) = \langle G(x, y | F) \rangle_F \ge \frac{\text{const}}{[(x-y)^2]^{1/2}} \exp\{-m[(x-y)^2]^{1/2}\}$$
(5.4)

In other words, a vector gauge random field does not give even a positive contribution to the mass of the particle.

We proceed to prove this statement. For the solution of equation (5.1) the representation (3.7) gives

$$G(x, y | F) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{(4\pi\alpha)^2} \left\{ \exp\left[-\alpha m^2 - \frac{(x-y)^2}{4\alpha}\right] \right\} R(x, y | V)$$
$$R(x, y | V) = \int d\sigma_a \exp\left\{ i \int_0^1 d\beta \, (x-y+2\alpha^{1/2}a(\beta))_\mu \qquad (5.5)$$
$$\times V_\mu (x\beta + y(1-\beta) + 2\alpha^{1/2}A(\beta)) \right\}$$

where V_{μ} is defined by formula (5.2). Averaging (5.5) over the random field

 $F_{\mu\nu}$ and putting y = 0, one obtains

$$R(x) = \langle R(x, 0 | V) \rangle_{F}$$

$$= \int d\sigma_{a} \exp\{-W[X]\}$$

$$W[X] = \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{0}^{1} d\beta_{1} d\beta_{2} \int \int_{0}^{1} ds_{1} ds_{2} s_{1} s_{2} D(s_{1}X(\beta_{1}) - s_{2}X(\beta_{2})) Y$$

$$Y = X_{\mu}(\beta_{1})X'_{\nu}(\beta_{1})\delta_{[\mu\nu,\rho\sigma]}X_{\rho}(\beta_{2})X'_{\sigma}(\beta_{2})$$

$$= 4\alpha [x_{\mu}(A_{\nu}(\beta_{1}) - \beta_{1}a_{\nu}(\beta_{1})) - 2\alpha^{1/2}A_{\mu}(\beta_{1})a_{\nu}(\beta_{1})]\delta_{[\mu\nu,\rho\sigma]}$$

$$\times [x_{\rho}(A_{\sigma}(\beta_{2}) - \beta_{2}a_{\sigma}(\beta_{2})) - 2\alpha^{1/2}A_{\rho}(\beta_{2})a_{\sigma}(\beta_{2})]$$
(5.6)
$$(5.6)$$

where

$$\delta_{[\mu\nu,\rho\sigma]} = \delta_{\mu\rho}\delta_{\nu\sigma} - \delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\nu\rho}$$
$$X_{\mu}(\beta) = X_{\mu}\beta + 2\alpha^{1/2}A_{\mu}(\beta)$$
$$X'_{\mu}(\beta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}X_{\mu}(\beta) = X_{\mu} + 2\alpha^{1/2}a_{\mu}(\beta)$$

The variational estimation (2.13) gives for (5.6)

$$R(x, \alpha) = e^{-E(x,\alpha)} \ge e^{-E_{+}(x,\alpha)}$$

$$E_{+}(x, \alpha) = \min_{\{q_n\}} [4L[q] + W[q]]$$

$$W[q] = \int d\sigma_a W[X_q]$$
(5.9)

where

$$X_q(\beta) = x\beta - 2\alpha^{1/2}A_q(\beta)$$
$$A_q(\beta) = \int_0^\beta d\beta' a_q(\beta')$$
$$a_q(\beta) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{u_n \cos 2\pi n\beta + v_A \sin 2\pi n\beta}{(1+q_n)^{1/2}}$$

The variational parameters q_n are chosen in the form

$$q_n = \left(\frac{|x|\sigma}{\pi n}\right)^2 \qquad [|x| = (x^2)^{1/2}] \tag{5.10}$$

where σ is a variational parameter. Then, L[q] is defined by formula (4.14). The convolutions of the fields $A_q(\beta)$ and $a_q(\beta)$ which arise when calculating the functional integral (5.9) are

$$\begin{split} \langle A_{q\mu}(\beta_{1})A_{q\nu}(\beta_{2}) \rangle \\ &= \int d\sigma_{a} A_{q\mu}(\beta_{1})A_{q\nu}(\beta_{2}) \\ &= \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos 2\pi n \beta_{1} - \cos 2\pi n \beta_{2} + \cos 2\pi n (\beta_{1} - \beta_{2})}{(\pi n)^{2} + (\sigma |x|)^{2}} \\ &= \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{8\sigma |x|} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ch \sigma |x| - ch \sigma |x|(1 - 2\beta_{1}) - ch \sigma |x|(1 - 2\beta_{2}) \right. \\ &+ ch \sigma |x|(1 - 2|\beta_{1} - \beta_{2}|) \right] \\ &\longrightarrow \frac{\delta\mu\nu}{8\sigma |x|} \left[1 - e^{-2\sigma |x|\beta_{1}} - e^{-2\sigma |x|\beta_{2}} + e^{-2\sigma |x| \cdot |\beta_{1} - \beta_{2}|} \right] \\ \langle A_{q\mu}(\beta_{1})a_{q\nu}(\beta_{2}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_{2}} \langle A_{q\mu}(\beta_{1})A_{q\nu}(\beta_{2}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\beta_{1} \partial\beta_{2}} \langle A_{q\mu}(\beta_{1})A_{\mu\nu}(\beta_{2}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\beta_{1} \partial\beta_{2}} \langle A_{\mu}(\beta_{$$

The function (5.9) can be written

$$W(\sigma, |\mathbf{x}|) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{0}^{1} d\beta_{1} d\beta_{2} \iint_{0}^{1} ds_{1} ds_{2} s_{1}s_{2} \int \left(\frac{dk}{2\pi}\right)^{4} \tilde{D}(k^{2})$$

 $\times \exp[i|\mathbf{x}|(kn)(\beta_{1}s_{1}-\beta_{2}s_{2})]J(|\mathbf{x}|, \sigma; \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, s_{1}, s_{2}) \quad (5.12)$
 $J = \int d\sigma_{a} \left(\exp\{i2\alpha^{1/2}(k[s_{1}A_{q}(\beta_{1})-s_{2}A_{q}(\beta_{2})]\})Y_{q}\right)$

where Y_q is defined by (5.7), where the vector $X(\beta)$ is changed by $X_q(\beta)$.

The integral for J can easily be calculated. However, we do not write this cumbersome expression here, but pick out from it the leading terms in the limit $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. It should be noted that

$$\alpha = |x|\xi \tag{5.13}$$

Green Functions in Stochastic Fields

where $\xi = O(1)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ because the asymptotic behavior of the Green function in (5.5) is defined by a saddle point of the integrand.

The convolutions (5.11) considered as distributions of the variables β_1 and β_2 have the following smallness order:

$$\langle A_{q\mu}(\beta_{1})A_{q\nu}(\beta_{2})\rangle = \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{8\sigma|x|} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sigma|x|}\right) \right]$$

$$\langle A_{q\mu}(\beta_{1})A_{q\nu}(\beta_{1})\rangle = \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{4\sigma|x|} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sigma|x|}\right) \right]$$

$$\langle A_{q\mu}(\beta_{1})a_{q\nu}(\beta_{2})\rangle = O\left(\frac{1}{\sigma|x|}\right)$$

$$\langle A_{q\mu}(\beta_{1})\beta_{2}a_{q\nu}(\beta_{2})\rangle = O\left(\frac{1}{(\sigma|x|)^{2}}\right)$$

$$\langle a_{q\mu}(\beta_{1})a_{q\nu}(\beta_{2})\rangle = O\left(\frac{1}{(\sigma|x|)}\right)$$

$$(5.14)$$

The limiting relation takes the form

$$\int \left(\frac{dk}{2\pi}\right)^4 F(k^2) e^{i|x|(kn)(\beta_1 s_1 - \beta_2 s_2)}$$

$$\xrightarrow[|x| \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{|x|} \delta(\beta_1 s_1 - \beta_2 s_2) \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} F(\mathbf{k}^2) + O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^2}\right)$$
(5.15)

if the function F(u) decreases rapidly enough.

Since the limiting expressions for the convolutions (5.14) do not depend on β_1 and β_2 , the integral over β_1 and β_2 can be calculated,

$$\iint_{0}^{1} d\beta_{1} d\beta_{2} \,\delta(\beta_{1}s_{1} - \beta_{2}s_{2}) = \frac{1}{s_{1}} \,\theta(s_{1} - s_{2}) + \frac{1}{s_{2}} \,\theta(s_{2} - s_{1}) \qquad (5.16)$$

Taking into account (5.13)-(5.16) and introducing the new variables $s_1 = s$ and $s_2 = s(1+t)/2$, the expression for (5.12) can be written, after some calculations,

$$W[q] = |x|F(\eta) \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right) \right]$$

$$F(\eta) = \frac{3}{2}\eta \int_{0}^{1} ds \, s^{2} \int_{0}^{1} dt \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \tilde{D}(\mathbf{k}^{2}) \exp\left(-\mathbf{k}^{2}\eta s^{2}\frac{3+t^{2}}{4}\right) \qquad (5.17)$$

$$\times (1 + \frac{1}{3}\eta \mathbf{k}^{2}s^{2}t^{2})$$

Dineykhan et al.

where $\eta = \xi/2\sigma$. It is easily seen that

$$F(\eta) = \begin{cases} O(\eta), & \eta \to 0\\ O\left(\frac{\ln \eta}{\eta}\right), & \eta \to \infty \end{cases}$$
(5.18)

Finally, for the Green function (5.4) we obtain, as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$G(x) \ge \frac{\text{const}}{|x|} e^{-M_{+}|x|}$$
$$M_{+} = \min_{\xi,\sigma} \left\{ m^{2}\xi + \frac{1}{4\xi} + 2\sigma + F\left(\frac{\xi}{2\sigma}\right) \right\}$$

Introducing $\eta = \xi/2\sigma$, one gets

$$M_{+} = \min_{\xi,\eta} \left\{ m^{2}\xi + \frac{1}{4\xi} + \frac{\xi}{\eta} + F(\eta) \right\}$$
$$= \min_{\eta} \left\{ \left(m^{2} + \frac{1}{\eta} \right)^{1/2} + F(\eta) \right\} = m$$

Thus, we obtain (5.4).

6. SCALAR PARTICLES IN A SPACE WITH FLUCTUATING METRIC

In this section we calculate a correction to the mass of a scalar particle in a Euclidean space with a weak stochastic correction to the metric of a flat Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^4 . Suppose that this metric can be written

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \delta_{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(x) \tag{6.1}$$

The Lagrangian of scalar particles in the space with this metric has the form

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \, g^{1/2} \left[g_{\mu\nu}(x) \, \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_{\mu}} \, \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_{\nu}} - m^2 \varphi^2(x) \right]$$

The equation of motion is

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{\mu}\partial x_{\nu}}\varphi(x) + \frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x_{\mu}}\frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_{\nu}} + g_{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial \ln\sqrt{g}}{\partial x_{\mu}}\frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_{\nu}} - m^2\varphi(x) = 0 \quad (6.2)$$

The weak stochastic field $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(x)$ should be considered as a gravity-like field,

(

i.e., a field with spin two. In this case, $\xi_{\mu\nu}(x)$ satisfies the conditions

$$\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(x) = \xi_{\nu\mu}(x), \quad \text{tr } \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\mu\mu}(x) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(x) = 0$$
(6.3)

Then, the second term in (6.2) equals zero. The third term in (6.2) is $O(\varepsilon^3)$ because

$$[g(x)]^{1/2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \varepsilon^2(x) + O(\varepsilon^3)$$

and after averaging over $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$, the second term in \sqrt{g} leads to a constant. Therefore, $(\partial/\partial x_{\mu}) \ln \sqrt{g} = O(\varepsilon^3)$ and this term does not give any contribution to corrections of the second order.

As a result, the equation in a weak stochastic field is

$$\left[-g_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{\mu}\,\partial x_{\nu}}+m^2\right]\varphi(x)=0$$

The equation for the Green function of a scalar particle can be written

$$\left\{ \left[\delta_{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(x) \right] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{\mu} \partial x_{\nu}} + m^2 \right\} G(x, y \mid \varepsilon) = \delta(x - y)$$
(6.4)

Let us consider the stochastic field $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(x)$. This field satisfies the conditions (6.3) and is a random Gaussian field with the correlation function

$$\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(x)\varepsilon_{\rho\sigma}(y)\rangle_{\varepsilon} = D_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}(x-y)$$

$$= \int \left(\frac{d\mu}{2\pi}\right)^{4} \tilde{D}(k^{2})\Delta_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}(k) \ e^{-ik(x-y)}$$

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}(k) = d_{\mu\rho} \ d_{\nu\sigma} + d_{\mu\sigma} \ d_{\nu\rho} - \frac{2}{3}d_{\mu\nu} \ d_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$d_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_{\mu}k_{\nu}}{k^{2}}$$
(6.5)

The function $\tilde{D}(k^2)$ is supposed to decrease rapidly enough. We choose it in the form

$$\tilde{D}(k^2) = \frac{G}{k^2} e^{-k^2/\Lambda^2}$$
(6.6)

Here $1/\Lambda$ defines the correlation length. It is natural to suppose that it is of the order of the Planck length,

$$1/\Lambda \sim L_{\rm Pl} = \left(\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}\right)^{1/2} \approx 1.62 \times 10^{-33} \,{\rm cm}$$

Let us consider equation (6.4). The solution of this equation can be represented in the form of a functional integral (we put y = 0):

$$G(x|\varepsilon) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \ e^{-m^{2}\alpha} T_{\beta} N[\varepsilon] \int \delta\phi$$
$$\times \exp\left\{-\int_{0}^{1} d\beta \ \phi_{\mu}(\beta) g_{\mu\nu}^{-1}(x(\beta)) \phi_{\nu}(\beta) -2\alpha^{1/2} \int_{0}^{1} d\beta \ \phi_{\mu}(\beta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}(\beta)}\right\} \delta(x)$$
(6.7)

where

$$N[\varepsilon] = \frac{1}{\left[\det g(x)\right]^{1/2}} = \int \delta \psi \exp\left\{-\int_0^1 d\beta \,\psi_\mu(\beta)g_{\mu\nu}(x(\beta))\psi_\nu(\beta)\right\}$$
$$N[0] = 1$$

After standard transformations, one gets

$$G(x | \varepsilon) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \ e^{-\alpha m^{2}} \iint \delta\psi \ \delta\phi \ \delta\left(x - 2\alpha^{1/2} \int_{0}^{1} d\beta \ \phi(\beta)\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-\int_{0}^{1} d\beta \ [\psi_{\mu}(\beta)g_{\mu\nu}(X(\beta))\psi_{\nu}(\beta) + \phi_{\mu}(\beta)g_{\mu\nu}^{-1}(X(\beta))\phi_{\nu}(\beta)]\right\}$$

$$(6.8)$$

$$X_{\mu}(\beta) = 2\alpha^{1/2} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\beta' \ \phi_{\mu}(\beta')$$

We consider the case of a weak stochastic field. Restricting ourselves to the second order in the field $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(x)$, we get

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{-1}(x) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(x) + \varepsilon_{\mu\rho}(x)\varepsilon_{\rho\nu}(x) + O(\varepsilon^3)$$

and

$$\int \delta \psi \exp\left\{-\int_0^1 d\beta \,\psi_\mu(\beta) g_{\mu\nu}(X(\beta))\psi_\nu(\beta)\right\}$$
$$= \exp\left\{\frac{1}{4}\delta(0) \int_0^1 d\beta \,\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(X(\beta))\varepsilon_{\nu\mu}(X(\beta)) + O(\varepsilon^3)\right\}$$

In this approximation the Green function has the form

$$G(x|\varepsilon) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{(4\pi\alpha)^2} e^{-\alpha m^2 - x^2/4\alpha} J(x, \alpha | \varepsilon)$$
(6.9)

$$J(x, \alpha | \varepsilon) = \int d\sigma_a \exp\left\{\frac{1}{4}\delta(0) \int_0^1 d\beta \,\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(X(\beta))\varepsilon_{\nu\mu}(X(\beta)) - \int_0^1 d\beta \,\phi_\mu(\beta)\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(X(\beta))\varepsilon_{\rho\nu}(X(\beta))\phi_\nu(\beta) + \int_0^1 d\beta \,\phi_\mu(\beta)\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(X(\beta))\phi_\nu(\beta)\right\}$$
(6.10)
$$X(\beta) = x\beta + 2\alpha^{1/2}A(\beta) - \phi(\beta) = \frac{1}{2\alpha^{1/2}}X'(\beta)$$

Averaging (6.10) over the weak stochasic field $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$ gives

$$J(\mathbf{x}, \alpha) = \langle J(\mathbf{x}, \alpha | \varepsilon) \rangle_{\varepsilon}$$

= $\exp\left\{\frac{1}{4}\delta(0)D_{\mu\nu,\nu\mu}(0) - \int d\sigma_a \int_0^1 d\beta \,\phi_\mu(\beta)\phi_\nu(\beta)D_{\mu\rho,\rho\nu}(0) + \int d\sigma_a \frac{1}{2} \int \int_0^1 d\beta_1 \,d\beta_2 \,\phi_\mu(\beta_1)\phi_\nu(\beta_1)D_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}(X(\beta_1) - X(\beta_2))\phi_\rho(\beta_2)\phi_\sigma(\beta_2)\right\}$

As $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ the integral (6.9) is defined by the saddle point $\alpha = |x|/2m$. Then

$$J\left(x,\frac{|x|}{2m}\right) = e^{|x|\delta m}$$
$$\delta m = \frac{5}{4}m \int \left(\frac{dk}{2\pi}\right)^4 \tilde{D}(k^2) \left[1 + \frac{2}{15}\frac{k^2}{(2k^2/m) + (kn)^2} \left(1 - \frac{(kn)^2}{k^2}\right)^2\right]$$

and

$$G(x) \approx e^{-(m-\delta m)|x|} \tag{6.11}$$

If $\tilde{D}(k^2)$ is given by (6.6), then for $m \ll \Lambda$ one obtains

$$\delta m = m \frac{5}{(8\pi)^2} G \Lambda^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{12} \frac{m^2}{4\Lambda^2} \ln \frac{4\Lambda^2}{m^2} \right)$$
(6.12)

REFERENCES

Climm, J., and Jaffe, A. (1981). Quantum Physics. A Functional Integral Point of View, Springer-Verlag, New York.

Efimov, G. V. (1985). Problems of Quantum Theory of Nonlocal Interactions, Nauka, Moscow. Feynman, R. P. (1951). Physical Review, 84, 106.

Feynman, R. P., and Hibbs, A. R. (1965). Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals, McGraw-Hill, New York.

Simonov, Yu. A. (1988a). Sov. Jad. Fiz. 47, 1165, 1381.

Simonov, Yu. A. (1988b). Nuclear Physics B, 307, 512.